EDITORIALS

STUDENT-FACULTY COMMITTEE

A widely acclaimed Faculty announcement last spring detailed the formation of a joint committee, consisting of both student and administrative representatives. The basic purpose of the body, as expressed in the administrative release, was to provide a means of liasion between students and faculty and thereby effect improved relations on matters of equal concern. Faculty approval of the committee's formation seems in itself to indicate the Administration's belief that some form of joint cooperation is wanting.

At the opening session, after agreeing on the format and procedures of subsequent meetings, several topics of mutual interest were frankly and informally discussed. Those in attendance expressed unanimous satisfaction with the progress of the conference and all indications were that future encounters would prove of tremendous ad-

Unfortunately, and for no apparent cause, the first sitting of the Student-Faculty Joint Committee was the last. Monthly meetings, supposedly to commence with the reopening of the University year, have yet to materialize. Is it possible that the worthy objectives forwarded in the administration's communique of last April are no longer deemed necessary? Have a few idle months so improved student-faculty relations that this meritorious plan can be justifiably abandoned? Whatever the motives may be, we urge those in authority to take immediate steps towards the com mittee's reorganization.

There exists at present no official link between the Student Body and the Administration. This wholly unnecessary lack of communications may result in serious complications, which could otherwise have been easily avoided.

We trust that remedial action will be soon forthcoming and that the many avenues to improved understanding will not remain needlessly detoured.

WHO'S GOT THE SONG?

A movement began last winter, among sources unknown, to provide the University with an official school song. It was obviously felt that a colorless version of bating Society the chance for the "Satchmo" Armstrong was hardly representative of St. Dunstan's. The objective was to establish a symbol of unity whereby the spirit of S. D. U. could be more adequately expressed. To effect this noble end, and to facilitate imigination, a contest was sancbiomed with \$35.00 offered the winning entry.

An early October Student Council meeting announced the selection of two pieces as official representatives, and at the same time voted to increase the prize money to \$50.00. It was assumed by the majority of those present that such action was most Oedipus Rex, winning three out of worthy and would ultimately benefit all. Yet, two months have sinced expired and not Island Drama Festival. a further word has been uttered.

Whether or not there exists an urgent need that we be stimulated by a cheering chant is a question in itself. The fact remains that the Student Body contributed Byrne, the Conservatives by Lorne \$50.00 for the sole purpose of establishing such a chant. If the song has been chosen \$50.00 for the sole purpose of establishing such a chant. If the song has been chosen cratic Party by Claude Rondeu. and more importantly, the money awarded, where is the product? We rather believe With Judge St. Clair Trainor as it would be nice indeed if someone, somewhere, would raise his voice.

Letters

CONSTITUTION A FAILURE

Dear Sir:

In the past, the students of Saint Dunstan's have been noted for their lack of interest in student government. The sorry results of this trend are represented by the new Student Council and its unpublished constitution. We offer the following facts to show that the new constitution has not proved up to par:

- 1) According to the present Constitution, if our memories of a single reading serve us correctly, one General Body Meeting is to be held each year.
- 2) The President has the only copy of the Constitution.
- 3) The copy of said Constitution passed at the last General Body Meeting was incomplete, was not properly promulgated at the Meeting, and has not as yet been released to the Student Body.
- 4) The Executive Meetings and the Council Meetings are held in private and students are permitted to be present only by invitation. This is an abrupt, unnecessary and undemocratic method of trans acting the business of the entire Student Body.
- 5) The Committee System as established through the new constitution is a failure. Committee Chairmen are appointed by President to represent various societies in the Council. In many cases, these appointed representatives are not qualified to repre-sent; they are in no way associ-ated with the organizaions they supposedly overseer and consequently lack knowledge of the technical problems of their respective societies. i. e., Winter carnival, Drama Society, Debating Society, Campus Police, Photograph-l

ers Club.) When appropriations were being considered, the society representative on the Council was in several instances unable to present his own brief because he was totally unfamiliar with his re-spective organization. This fact alone clearly indicates the failure of this aspect of student govern-

6) At Council Meetings, the voice of the ordinary student cannot be heard; he can neither question nor be qusetioned.

Completely aside from the constitution itself, let us examine the government of our new Coffee Shop. The normal incorporated business is managed by a Board of Directors, elected by the stockholders of the Company. By virtue of the fee paid by every student at the beginning of the year, we are all stockholders in the ownership of the Coffee Shop.

Two points should now be considered.

- a) The directors of this corporation (to be—ED.) were not elected by the stockholders, but merely appointed by the Executive of he Council and consisting of the Executive itself!
- b) Funds assessed from each student at the start of the school year were misappropriated and used for general expenditures.

Please note that in both the above actions, the students had no voice. Again the new constitution makes no provision for expression of individual student opinion. Our rights as individuals are being imposed upon. Recall the words of a great eighteenth century statesman: "If once the people become so inattentive to the public affairs, you and I and Congress and assemblies, judges and governors, shall all become wolves

WE ARE CONCERNED

THAT EXTRA HALF HOUR

Dear Sir:

At approximately seven o'clock each morning, Monday through Saturday, a bell rings several times. Throughout campus, students awaken from their sleep and decide whether or not to go to Mass. It appears tha most of them often decide in the negative as such a small group attend Mass. Each day it becomes increasingly evident that most students do not give this matter enough serious thought, or surely the number would be much larger

Perhaps some keep putting it off saying, "Oh, I'll start tomorrow and I'll go every day after that." But, does such a person realize that for many tomorrow never comes?

Some students may feel that the extra half hour of sleep will do them much more good than getting up and attending Mass. Howone must get up one-half hour later to nourish one's body with breakfast. Why not start one-half hour earlier to nourish one-half hour earlier to nourish one's soul? If God is so kind and merciful to give us twenty-four hours in the day, can we not give back just one-half hour. Sure those thirty minutes of sleep might be just great for a while, but life is so short, and most certainly when the Last Judgment arrives we will not be judged too benevolently on the hours we slept - especially those extra half-hours.

If a sign were placed in the library bulletin board stating that at tomorrow's Mass someone very special was going to appear I'm quite sure students would trip over one another to get into the chapel. But, my friends, there is a special person at Mass every day. Christ is there, and who could be more special than He? To whom do we Tony Rider, Don MacKelvey, Brian Duffy.

ALUMNEWS



CYRIL BYRNE

In the first column of Alum-cratic Party. news, published in the October session, Prime Minister Cyril Byrne and his coalition government fell to the Progressive Conwas pointed out that we would attempt to keep S. D. U. students Party, with Lorne McGuigan as was pointed out that we would abreast with Alumni and Alumni activities. In keeping with this policy, we thought it would be interesting to review some of the things done by the Alumni when they were at St. Dunstan's.

The College year of '59-'60 brings to mind many exciting events. Debating captured the limelight at S. Dunstan's that year. In men's division, all three teams fifth for St. Dunstan's. Mike Lieu, were victorious, earning the De-Dominion crown in Edmonton, which was subsequently won by the Universite de Sherbrooke. The St. Dunstan's Girls Debating Society brought home the M. I. G. L. crown.

It was that year that Rev. Adrian Arsenault directed a group sudents in the presentation of five awards in the Prince Edward

In March, a mock parliament was conducted on the campus. The Liberals were headed by Cyril McGuigan and the Peoples Demo-Speaker of the House, Mr. Arthur Scripture. Pensively George ans McInnis as Clerk, and Mr. Wilfred Driscoll addressing the speech the garden and hanged himself."

During the the new Prime Minister.

In January, St. Dunstan's played host to a regional conference of the National Federation of College Sudents with Premier Walter Shaw as the guest speaker. In a Canada-wide Latin contest with twentythree universities participating, Freshman student from British Guiana, Vilbert Rosemay, placed participating, third year science student at St. Dunstan's, won first and prize in the Fourth National Photographer's Contest.

In Sports, S. D. U. had a somewhat dismal record that year, with the football team then coached by A. J. MacAdam, winning only one Father game in fuor encounters. Charlie MacDonald led the basketsquad in an uphill ball against Mount Allison and U.N.B. However, faced with the loss of some of the squad via umpires and the pressure of studies, the

BELIEVE IT OR NOT . . .

During a religion class that year, Father Roche asked George McMurray to quote a verse from Scripture. Pensively George ans-. Judas went out into from the throne, the government on being encouraged by Fr. Roche was in the hands of a coalition of Liberals and the Peoples Demo- ed, "Go ye and do likewise."

owe more devotion than to Jesus does not hear the bell. Many of Christ who died to save us? He, those who say they do not hear who stayed up all night and pray- the bell seem to manage to get ed until his sweat became as up in time for breakfast. So is drops of blood and who on the this a valid excuse? There may following day offered the greatest be some who, in all sincerity, do sacrifice of all—His own life. Yet, not hear the bell, but, the neigh-some of us can sleep all night and bour down the hall would be only cannot even arise at seven to live too glad to knock on such a peragain this most wonderful of sacrifices—Holy Mass. Are we being all the reason in the world to be at fair? After all, a life given up Mass each morning, but no exfor us should be, and is, worth cuse for remaining in bed.

No one is excused by saying he ADDITIONAL LETTERS PAGE 7

RED and WHITE

Published twice a month during the university year by the stu-dents of St. Dunstan's University, Charlotteown, Prince Edward Is-

Authroized as second class mail by the Post Office Department, Ottawa, and for the payment of postage in cash.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the students.

Editor-in-Chief ROGER A. MacDONALD

Associate Editor Daniel Kinch Business Manager Peter Trembley

Managing Editor Thomas Hayden Advertising Manager John B. MacDonald

DEPARTMENT HEADS

Features: Lawrence Don Elyson; Assistant, Michael O'Brien; Sports: Charlie McMillan, Assistant, Harry O'Connell; News: Dan Murray, Assistant, Steve Langevin; Alumni News: Tommy McMillan; Photography: Dick Trottier; Circulation: Mary Cullen.

STAFF WRITERS

Walter McEwen, Joe Condon, John Rodgers, Jack O'Keete.

LAYOUT STAFF